# THE VERSATILE ROLE OF THE VAGUS NERVE IN THE GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT

# Nathalie Stakenborg, Martina Di Giovangiulio, Guy E. Boeckxstaens, Gianluca Matteoli

Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Translational Research Center for Gastrointestinal Disorders (TARGID), University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

**Disclosure:** No potential conflict of interest. **Support:** Supported by grants from Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO); Odysseus and Hercules program to G.E.B., FWO postdoctoral research fellowship to G.M. and by FWO PhD fellowship to M.DG. **Received:** 03.09.13 **Accepted:** 29.11.13 **Citation:** EMJ Gastroenterol. 2013;1:106-114.

# ABSTRACT

The vagus nerve, the major nerve of the parasympathetic nervous system, innervates several organs from the neck to the abdomen. The vagal branches contain afferent (i.e. sensory) and efferent (i.e. motor) fibres contributing to a bidirectional communication between the visceral organs and the brain. The extensive vagal innervation of the body indicates that vagus nerve has a multitude of physiological functions. Specifically, the gastrointestinal (GI) tract is densely innervated by the vagus nerve and the latter plays a crucial role in GI functions such as food intake, digestion, and GI barrier function. In addition, the vagus nerve has immunomodulatory properties suggesting that activation of the parasympathetic innervation of the GI tract could act as a new therapeutic tool to treat intestinal immune diseases. This review summarises the anatomical and physiological properties of the vagal innervation of the GI tract.

Keywords: Parasympathetic nervous system, vagus nerve, gastrointestinal tract.

# TOPOGRAPHICAL ANATOMY OF THE VAGUS NERVE

The vagus nerve, the main contributor of the parasympathetic nervous system, is the tenth cranial nerve originating from the medulla oblongata in the central nervous system. Within the medulla, the cell bodies of vagal preganglionic neurons are found in the nucleus ambiguous (NA) and the dorsal motor of the vagus (DMV). These nuclei supply fibres to the vagus nerve, which emerges from the cranium via the jugular foramen.<sup>1</sup> At the level of the jugular foramen, the superior jugular ganglion of the vagus provides cutaneous branches to the auriculus and external acoustic meatus.<sup>2,3</sup> Just distally, there is a second ganglion, referred to as the nodose ganglion, collecting sensory innervation from visceral organs. The cell bodies of afferent (i.e. sensory) neurons are located in the

latter ganglion and project to the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS). This nucleus relays input to the medulla in order to regulate the cardiovascular, respiratory and gastrointestinal (GI) functions.<sup>4</sup>

The cervical vagus descends within the carotid sheath alongside the carotid artery and internal jugular vein. Cardiac vagal branches leave the cervical vagus and join the cardiac plexus. The left and right recurrent laryngeal nerve, arising at the level of the aortic arch and subclavian artery respectively, also contribute to the cardiac innervation. Besides the heart, both vagi innervate the lungs through the pulmonary plexus.<sup>1</sup>

## **INNERVATION OF THE GI TRACT**

More distally, the left and right vagus run with the oesophagus through the diaphragmatic hiatus. Upon entering the abdominal cavity, the left and right vagus become the anterior and posterior vagus, respectively.<sup>1,5,6</sup> However, one has to keep in mind that each trunk receives fibres from both cervical vagus nerves.<sup>5</sup> The number of posterior and anterior trunks passing through the diaphragmatic opening is variable, up to two in the former and three in the latter.<sup>5</sup> The anterior trunk distributes gastric branches to the anterior aspect of the stomach and gives off a hepatic branch. Besides innervating the liver, the hepatic stem gives off branches to the pylorus and the proximal part of the duodenum and pancreas. On the other hand, the posterior trunk distributes one gastric branch to the proximal posterior aspect of the stomach and another to the coeliac plexus, which innervates the spleen and GI tract reaching as far as the left colonic flexure.<sup>1,5,6</sup> The large intestine receives additional parasympathetic innervation through the pelvic splanchnic nerve (S2-S4), which terminates in the pelvic plexus and emerges as the colonic and rectal nerve.<sup>7-10</sup>

The afferent vagus nerve innervates the GI tract via vagal terminals both in the lamina propria<sup>11,12</sup> and in the muscularis externa.<sup>13-15</sup> However, the efferent vagus nerve fibres only interact with neurons of the enteric nervous system (ENS). The ENS consists out of a dense meshwork of nerve fibres, situated in the submucosal (i.e. submucosal plexus) and external muscular compartment of the intestine (i.e. myenteric plexus).<sup>16</sup> By means of electrophysiological and anterograde tracer studies, it was demonstrated that preganglionic parasympathetic fibres (i.e. both vagal and sacral innervation) directly interact with multiple postganglionic myenteric neurons by formation of varicosities. whereas few vagal fibres communicate with submucosal neurons.<sup>17-20</sup> The preganglionic innervation of the GI tract displays a typical rostro-caudal gradient with the highest density of innervated myenteric neurons in the stomach and duodenum followed by a progressive reduction in the small intestine and colon.<sup>17</sup> The fact that gastric myenteric neurons are activated by vagal input was also demonstrated immunohistochemically with the detection of c-Fos and phosphorylated c-AMP response element binding protein (p-CREB), which are markers for neuronal activity.<sup>21,22</sup> As activation of neurons within one ganglion is initiated after the al.20 same latency period, Schemann et suggest that the vagal input to the ENS is monosynaptic. However, this is not confirmed by other studies.<sup>22</sup> Currently, three distinct vagal afferent terminals have been described.

The specific location of each terminal has correlations with its physiological function.

#### VAGAL REGULATION OF GI PHYSIOLOGY

Vagal fibres are projected throughout the GI tract and interact with the gut to regulate food intake, digestion, barrier keeping, and immunity. Food intake leads to satiety through the activation of several pathways: the release of various peptides from enteroendocrine cells (EEC), the direct action of certain nutrients (e.g. short fatty acids<sup>23</sup>) (Figure 1A), and mechanoreceptor stimulation due to gastric distension (Figure 1B).<sup>24</sup> Most afferent vagal endings in the mucosal lamina propria are thought to be chemoreceptors sensing the presence of hormones, peptides and nutrients released by epithelial and neuroendocrine cells.<sup>23,25-27</sup> In contrast, the terminal vagal structures in the external muscle layers and the myenteric plexus are considered to be mechanoreceptors detecting GI distension.<sup>13,14</sup> These sensory signals are relayed to the NTS, in which the afferent information is processed. Appropriate vagal efferent output is transmitted from the DMV.<sup>12</sup> The latter has a major metabolic and dietary function, since electrical stimulation of DMV leads to an increased secretion of gastric acid,<sup>28,29</sup> insulin<sup>28,30</sup> and glucagon.<sup>28,31</sup> Moreover, the secretion of gastric acid,<sup>32</sup> insulin,<sup>32-38</sup> glucagon,<sup>35-37</sup> and pancreatic polypeptide<sup>39,40</sup> is also elevated when the peripheral vagus nerve is stimulated (Figure 1). These responses are all abolished by vagotomy,<sup>41</sup> administration of atropine,<sup>35,40,42</sup> or hexamethonium.<sup>31,40</sup> Besides its dietary and metabolic functions, the vagus nerve also has effects on the intestinal barrier function through immune cells (i.e. mast cells<sup>43</sup>) and the activation of enteric glial cells via the ENS.


#### **Dietary Intake and Metabolism Regulation**

#### **Chemical stimulation**

The EECs respond to nutrient sensing in the lumen by the basolateral secretion of leptin in the stomach<sup>44</sup> and cholecystokinin (CCK) in the small intestine.<sup>45</sup> Tracer studies showed that EECs lie in close vicinity to mucosal vagal afferent terminals projecting from the nodose ganglia via the plexus.<sup>11,46</sup> myenteric The close anatomical position between vagal afferents and EECs enables CCK and leptin to act as paracrine factors, which activate CCK-A<sup>26</sup> and Ob-R receptors<sup>25,27</sup> respectively.<sup>11</sup> expressed on afferent fibres,

Electrophysiological studies have these anatomical observations. since CCK stimulates afferent nerve fibres<sup>47</sup> and nodose ganglion cell bodies<sup>48</sup> via the CCK-A receptor. Leptin has also been reported to act in synergism

confirmed vagal fibres.<sup>27,49</sup> This afferent signalling is further relayed to the NTS.<sup>49-51</sup> Synergistic vagal activation by CCK and leptin leads to inhibition of food intake.49,52,53 In addition, CCK alone inhibits gastric emptying<sup>54-56</sup> and stimulates with CCK through CCK-A receptors and afferent biliary and pancreatic secretion (Figure 1).<sup>57-59</sup>



#### Figure 1. Vagal regulation of gastrointestinal (GI) physiology.

(A) Afferent vagal fibres receive information from the internal milieu of the GI tract via mechanical signalling and chemical (i.e. enteroendocrine hormone release and certain food nutrients) and immunological stimulation (i.e. proinflammatory cytokines).

(B) This sensory information is transmitted to the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) to mount an appropriate efferent (i.e. motor) response through the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMV), such as the secretion of neuroendocrine hormones and variations in GI motility, barrier function, and modulation of the intestinal immune response.

Indeed, the administration of specific CCK-A receptors antagonists (i.e. L364,718) prior to a meal increases food ingestion<sup>54,60</sup> and gastric emptying, but inhibits pancreatic secretion.<sup>57,61,62</sup> These effects of CCK are dependent on an intact vagal supply, since vagotomy<sup>58,63,64</sup> or destruction of small diameter vagal afferent C fibres by capsaicin abolish the actions of CCK.<sup>54-56,58</sup>

#### Mechanical stimulation

Besides chemosensory signal transduction, the afferent arch of the vagus is also activated by gastric distension through the stimulation of afferent vagal mechanoreceptor in the GI tract. Two candidate mechanoreceptors of the vagus nerve have been described: the intraganglionic laminar ending (IGLE)<sup>13</sup> and intramuscular arrays (IMAs).<sup>14</sup>

The former terminal consists of aggregates of terminal puncta associated with myenteric neurons as well as connective tissue structures surrounding the myenteric ganglia. IGLEs are the densest in the stomach and become sparse more caudally.<sup>14,65-67</sup> The close anatomical proximity between the connective tissue layers and the ganglia indicates that IGLEs are able to detect the shearing forces between the orthogonal layers.<sup>67,68</sup> Electrophysiological studies muscle confirm that IGLE could act as low threshold since distortion of the tension receptors, stomach leads to activation of tension-sensitive vagal mechanoreceptors.<sup>46,67,69-71</sup>

А second class of prominent vagal mechanoreceptors are IMAs, which consist of parallel arrays of neurite terminals coursing parallel to muscle bundles in the longitudinal or circular muscle layers<sup>14,66,72</sup> and lie in close vicinity of interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC).<sup>15,73</sup> IMAs are mostly located in the upper stomach, lower oesophageal and pyloric sphincters.14,74-76 Based on the morphological features, IMAs appear stretch receptors sensitive to act as to shearing forces in the long axis. However, electrophysiological studies have not been able to unambiguously determine the true functionality of IMAs.15,70,71

The sensory vagal mechanoreceptors stimulated by gastric distension, are the first trigger of vago-vagal reflexes, such as gastric accommodation,<sup>77</sup> inhibition of food intake, and antral peristalsis (Figure 1).<sup>78</sup> Distension also appears to act in synergy with CCK to increase afferent activity and consequently decrease food intake.<sup>79-83</sup> However, Grundy et al.<sup>84</sup> disagree to the fact that CCK exerts a direct effect on vagal afferent mechanoreceptors, rather they suggest that the action of CCK is mediated through the sensory vagal chemoreceptors in the mucosa.<sup>84</sup>

#### The Vagus Nerve as Intestinal Barrier Keeper

Intestinal epithelial cells maintain a strict barrier between the external and internal environment via the expression of tight junctions. The tight junctions consist of a branching network of interacting transmembrane proteins, such as claudins and occludins. The loss of epithelial barrier integrity and thus tight junction expression bacterial translocation enables across the intestinal mucosa, which can initiate detrimental systemic inflammation after severe injuries.85 Coimbra et al.<sup>86-90</sup> showed that there is increased after intestinal permeability haemorrhagic shock and traumatic brain and burn injuries, characterised by a decreased tight junction expression. Pharmacological. nutritional and electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve prevents the breakdown of the epithelial barrier via the stabilisation of tight iunction expression (Figure 1).<sup>88,89,91-96</sup> Evidence suggests that VNS maintains the epithelial barrier integrity after severe injury by enteric glia activation. Several groups have demonstrated that the activation of alial cells leads to the release of S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), which increases the expression of tight junctions and improves mucosal integrity. These observations were confirmed in vivo by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of GNSO in inflammatory models.97-100

# Vagus Nerve and Intestinal Immune System: The Cholinergic Anti-Inflammatory Pathway (CAIP)

For many decades, it has been acknowledged that a complex interplay exists between the nervous system and immune cells. The central nervous system (CNS) receives sensory information about the presence of inflammation and responds appropriately via two specific pathways: neuroendocrine and neural routes.<sup>101</sup>

#### Afferent arch of CAIP

In light of an overt infection, circular cytokines (i.e. IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$ ) or pathogenic components can be detected by higher brain structures (e.g.

circumventricular organs [CVO]) that are devoid of a blood brain barrier. Indeed, administration of intravenous (IV) endotoxin elicited c-Fos activation in the CVO and NTS.<sup>102-104</sup> These structures give direct input to motor neurons in the DMV, which project vagal efferents to the spleen. In this way, the vagus nerve is able to modulate the splenic immune response.<sup>104-106</sup>

The immune system does not only communicate with the brain via the circulation. In the case of more localised peripheral inflammation, in which the amount of proinflammatory cytokines is not detectable by the CVO, afferent vagal fibres and adjacent glomus cells are activated by cytokines/chemokines, such as IL-1 and mast cells mediators.<sup>107-109</sup> Electrophysiological studies have reported that mast cell mediators and IL-1 activate afferent vagal fibres (Figure 1).<sup>108,110,111</sup> Furthermore, both IV and IP administration of endotoxin induced c-Fos activity in primary afferent ganglia (i.e. nodose ganglia)<sup>112</sup> followed by increased NTS and splenic activity.<sup>104</sup> The same c-Fos induction was observed in the NTS in response to intestinal anaphylaxis and inflammation caused by surgical manipulation of the gut.<sup>113-115</sup> Subdiaphragmatic vagotomy largely abolishes c-Fos activity in NTS and DMV after i.p. injection of endotoxin (i.e. LPS and SEB).<sup>105,116</sup> Together, these observations strongly indicate that the brain is able to modulate the splenic immune response indirectly via the detection of circulating cytokines and directly via afferent input from sensory fibres.

#### Efferent arch of CAIP

The splenic immune response plays an important role during systemic inflammation, since splenic macrophages are the major source of TNF- $\alpha$  in sepsis.<sup>117</sup> Therefore, the spleen is considered to be the perfect target to modulate the immune response in response to endotoxemia. In light of this, Borovikova et al.<sup>118</sup> showed that vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) strongly inhibits splenic TNF- $\alpha$ production in a model of systemic inflammation, introducing the concept of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway (CAIP). This antiinflammatory response is mediated by the reduced activation of splenic macrophages expressing alpha7 nicotinic receptor ( $\alpha$ 7nAChR). Acetylcholine (ACh) released by memory T cells, namely, interacts with  $\alpha$ 7nAChR and inhibits the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines via the JAK-STAT pathway.<sup>119-122</sup>

Over the years, many studies have demonstrated the beneficial effect of VNS in other inflammatory models such as haemorrhagic shock,<sup>123</sup> pancreatitis<sup>124</sup> and collagen-induced arthritis.<sup>125</sup> Ourselves and others also extended the concept of CAIP to the GI tract, since the gut is largely innervated by the vagus nerve. Indeed, we and others showed that electrical, nutritional and pharmacological activation of the vagal pathway prevents surgical induced inflammation and thus postoperative ileus (POI).<sup>122,126-129</sup> CAIP activation also reduced intestinal inflammation in other models: diabetic-induced gastroparesis,<sup>130</sup> colitis,<sup>131-133</sup> and LPS-induced septic ileus.<sup>134-137</sup> In contrast, vagotomised mice have a higher susceptibility to develop colitis after dextran administration.<sup>132,138,139</sup> (DSS) sulphate sodium Moreover, a more severe colitis is also correlated with a reduction of mucosal levels of ACh in a model of depression.<sup>132,140,141</sup> Like in the spleen, the anti-inflammatory response of CAIP is mediated  $\alpha$ 7nAChR through macrophages. Deficiency of  $\alpha$ 7nAChR in bone marrow-derived cells significantly abrogated the vagal anti-inflammatory effect, whereas  $\alpha$ 7nAChR deficiency in neurons and other cells did not have a significant effect in POI, indicating that the beneficial effect of VNS depends on  $\alpha$ 7nAChR expression on immune cells rather on neuronal cells.<sup>129,142</sup> As in the spleen, the CAIP is not mediated by direct interaction between  $\alpha$ 7nAChR macrophages and efferent vagal fibres, but rather via the modulation of cholinergic enteric neurons in proximity of intestinal  $\alpha$ 7nAChR expressing macrophages.<sup>113,129</sup> Other mucosal and submucosal immune cells, such as dendritic cells, mast cells, and T and B lymphocytes also express nicotinic receptors and may, therefore, be involved in CAIP.<sup>141</sup>

## CONCLUSION

To date, electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve is already used as a therapeutic tool for intractable epilepsy and treatment-resistant depression. Currently, the anti-inflammatory effects of VNS are explored in three clinical trials in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), disease and postoperative Crohn's ileus (NCT01552941, NCT01569503 and NCT01572155). Future insight from clinical trials and from basic research will hopefully offer the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway as a novel and powerful new therapeutic tool.

#### REFERENCES

1. Drake RL et al. Gray's atlas of anatomy 1st ed (2008). Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone, pp. xvi,558.

2. Tekdemir I et al. A clinico-anatomic study of the auricular branch of the vagus nerve and Arnold's ear-cough reflex. Surg Radiol Anat: SRA. 1998;20(4):253-7.

3. Yang AC et al. A new choice for the treatment of epilepsy: electrical auriculavagus-stimulation. Med Hypotheses. 2011;77(2):244-5.

4. Schachter SC, Saper CB. Vagus nerve stimulation. Epilepsia. 1998;39(7):677-86.

5. Jackson RG. Anatomy of the vagus nerves in the region of the lower esophagus and the stomach. Anat Rec. 1949;103(1):1-18.

6. McCrea ED. The abdominal distribution of the vagus. J Anat. 1924;59(Pt 1):18-40.

7. Bayliss WM, Starling EH. The movements and the innervation of the large intestine. J Physiol. 1900;26(1-2):107-18.

8. Bourne GH, International Society for Cell Biology. International review of cytology (1952), New York: Academic Press, p. V.

9. Dorofeeva AA et al. Involvement of the sacral parasympathetic nucleus in the innervation of the descending colon and rectum in cats. Neurosci Behav Physiol. 2009;39(2):207-10.

10. Hansen JT et al. Netter's clinical anatomy 1st ed (2005), Philadelphia: Elsevier Inc, pp. ix,668.

11. Berthoud HR, Patterson LM. Anatomical relationship between vagal afferent fibers and CCK-immunoreactive entero-endocrine cells in the rat small intestinal mucosa. Acta Anat (Basel). 1996;156(2):123-31.

12. Berthoud HR, Neuhuber WL. Functional and chemical anatomy of the afferent vagal system. Auton Neurosci. 2000;85(1-3):1-17.

13. Rodrigo J et al. Vegetative innervation of the esophagus. II. Intraganglionic laminar endings. Acta Anat (Basel). 1975;92(1):79-100.

14. Berthoud HR, Powley TL. Vagal afferent innervation of the rat fundic stomach: morphological characterization of the gastric tension receptor. J Comp Neurol. 1992;319(2):261-76.

15. Powley TL, Phillips RJ. Vagal intramuscular array afferents form complexes with interstitial cells of Cajal in gastrointestinal smooth muscle: analogues of muscle spindle organs? Neuroscience. 2011;186:188-200.

16. Cailotto C et al. Immunohistochemical Study Evaluating the Vagal Innervation of Intestinal Resident Macrophages. Gastroenterology. 2011;140(5):S-370.

17. Berthoud HR et al. Topography of efferent vagal innervation of the rat gastrointestinal tract. Am J Physiol. 1991;260(1 Pt 2):R200-7.

18. Berthoud HR et al. Simultaneous labeling of vagal innervation of the gut and afferent projections from the visceral forebrain with dil injected into the dorsal vagal complex in the rat. J Comp Neurol. 1990;301(1):65-79.

19. Holst MC et al. Vagal preganglionic projections to the enteric nervous system characterized with Phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin. J Comp Neurol. 1997;381(1):81-100.

20. Schemann M, Grundy D. Electrophysiological identification of vagally innervated enteric neurons in guinea pig stomach. Am J Physiol. 1992;263(5 Pt 1):G709-18.

21. Berthoud HR et al. Vagal-enteric interface: vagal activation-induced expression of c-Fos and p-CREB in neurons of the upper gastrointestinal tract and pancreas. Anat Rec. 2001;262(1):29-40.

22. Zheng H, Berthoud HR. Functional vagal input to gastric myenteric plexus as assessed by vagal stimulation-induced Fos expression. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2000;279(1):G73-81.

23. Lal S et al. Vagal afferent responses to fatty acids of different chain length in the rat. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2001;281(4):G907-15.

24. Cummings DE, Overduin J. Gastrointestinal regulation of food intake. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(1):13-23.

25. Buyse M et al. Expression and regulation of leptin receptor proteins in afferent and efferent neurons of the vagus nerve. Eur J Neurosci. 2001;14(1):64-72.

26. Moriarty P et al. Characterization of cholecystokininA and cholecystokininB receptors expressed by vagal afferent neurons. Neuroscience. 1997;79(3):905-13.

27. Wang Y et al. Two types of leptinresponsive gastric vagal afferent terminals: an in vitro single-unit study in rats. Am J Physiol. 1997;273(2):R833-7.

28. Laughton WB, Powley TL. Localization of efferent function in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus. Am J Physiol. 1987;252(1 Pt 2):R13-25.

29. Wyrwicka W, Garcia R. Effect of electrical stimulation of the dorsal nucleus of the vagus nerve on gastric acid secretion in cats. Exp Neurol. 1979;65(2):315-25.

30. Ionescu E et al. Increases in plasma

insulin levels in response to electrical stimulation of the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve. Endocrinology. 1983;112(3):904-10.

31. Berthoud HR et al. Localization of vagal preganglionics that stimulate insulin and glucagon secretion. Am J Physiol. 1990;258(1 Pt 2):R160-8.

32. Berthoud HR, Powley TL. Characteristics of gastric and pancreatic responses to vagal stimulation with varied frequencies: evidence for different fiber calibers? J Auton Nerv Syst. 1987;19(1):77-84.

33. Bergman RN, Miller RE. Direct enhancement of insulin secretion by vagal stimulation of the isolated pancreas. Am J Physiol. 1973;225(2):481-6.

34. Kaneto A et al. Effects of stimulation of the vagus nerve on insulin secretion. Endocrinology. 1967;80(3):530-6.

35. Kaneto A et al. Effects of vagal stimulation on glucagon and insulin secretion. Endocrinology. 1974;95(4):1005-10.

36. Bloom SR, Edwards AV. Pancreatic endocrine responses to stimulation of the peripheral ends of the vagus nerves in conscious calves. J Physiol. 1981;315:31-41.

37. Nishi S et al. Vagal regulation of insulin, glucagon, and somatostatin secretion in vitro in the rat. J Clin Invest. 1987;79(4):1191-6.

38. Berthoud HR et al. Evidence for a role of the gastric, coeliac and hepatic branches in vagally stimulated insulin secretion in the rat. J Auton Nerv Syst. 1983;7(2):97-110.

39. Bladin PH et al. Elevation of plasma gastrin and pancreatic polypeptide by electrical vagal stimulation in sheep: effects of sequential stimulation. Regul Pept. 1983;6(2):89-97.

40. Schwartz TW et al. Vagal, cholinergic regulation of pancreatic polypeptide secretion. J Clin Invest. 1978;61(3):781-9.

41. Frohman LA et al. Effect of vagotomy and vagal stimulation on insulin secretion. Diabetes. 1967;16(7):443-8.

42. Allen J et al. Acute rise of pancreatic polypeptide after electroconvulsive therapy. Br J Psychiatry. 1982;141(1):24-6.

43. Snoek SA et al. The enteric nervous system as a regulator of intestinal epithelial barrier function in health and disease. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010;4(5):637-51.

44. Bado A et al. The stomach is a source of leptin. Nature. 1998;394(6695):790-3.

45. Smith GP et al. The satiety effect of cholecystokinin: a progress report. Peptides. 1981;2 Suppl 2:57-9.

46. Berthoud HR et al. Vagal afferent innervation of rat abdominal paraganglia as revealed by anterograde Dil-tracing and confocal microscopy. Acta Anat (Basel). 1995;152(2):127-32.

47. Schwartz GJ et al. Pharmacological dissociation of responses to CCK and gastric loads in rat mechanosensitive vagal afferents. Am J Physiol. 1994;267(1):R303-8.

48. Widdop RE et al. Electrophysiological and autoradiographical evidence for cholecystokinin A receptors on rat isolated nodose ganglia. J Auton Nerv Syst. 1994;46(1):65-73.

49. Barrachina MD et al. Synergistic interaction between leptin and cholecystokinin to reduce short-term food intake in lean mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997;94(19):10455-60.

50. Emond M et al. Central leptin modulates behavioral and neural responsivity to CCK. Am J Physiol. 1999;276(5):R1545-9.

51. Zittel TT et al. C-fos protein expression in the nucleus of the solitary tract correlates with cholecystokinin dose injected and food intake in rats. Brain Res. 1999;846(1):1-11.

52. Peters JH et al. Cooperative activation of cultured vagal afferent neurons by leptin and cholecystokinin. Endocrinology. 2004;145(8):3652-7.

53. Peters J et al. Leptin and CCK selectively activate vagal afferent neurons innervating the stomach and duodenum. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2006;290(6):R1544-9.

54. Forster E et al. Gastric emptying in rats: role of afferent neurons and cholecystokinin. Am J Physiol. 1990;258(4):G552-6.

55. Holzer HH et al. Intestinal lipid inhibits gastric emptying via CCK and a vagal capsaicin-sensitive afferent pathway in rats. Am J Physiol. 1994;267(4):G625-9.

56. Raybould HE, Tache Y. Cholecystokinin inhibits gastric motility and emptying via a capsaicin-sensitive vagal pathway in rats. Am J Physiol. 1988;255(2):G242-6.

57. Garlicki J et al. Cholecystokinin receptors and vagal nerves in control of food intake in rats. Am J Physiol. 1990;258(1):E40-5.

58. Li Y, Owyang C. Vagal afferent pathway mediates physiological action of cholecystokinin on pancreatic enzyme secretion. J Clin Invest. 1993;92(1):418.

59. Hildebrand P et al. Effects of a cholecystokinin receptor antagonist on intestinal phase of pancreatic and biliary responses in man. J Clin Invest. 1990;85(3):640-6.

60. Reidelberger RD, O'Rourke MF. Potent cholecystokinin antagonist L 364718 stimulates food intake in rats. Am J Physiol. 1989;257(6):R1512-8.

61. Green T et al. Action of the cholecystokinin antagonist L364, 718 on gastric emptying in the rat. Am J Physiol. 1988;255(5):G685-9.

62. Lotti VJ et al. In vivo pharmacology of L-364,718, a new potent nonpeptide peripheral cholecystokinin antagonist. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1987;241(1):103-9.

63. Raybould HE et al. Reflex decreases in intragastric pressure in response to cholecystokinin in rats. Am J Physiol. 1987;253(2):G165-70.

64. Smith GP et al. Abdominal vagotomy blocks the satiety effect of cholecystokinin in the rat. Science. 1981;213(4511):1036-7.

65. Berthoud HR et al. Distribution and structure of vagal afferent intraganglionic laminar endings (IGLEs) in the rat gastrointestinal tract. Anat Embryol (Berl). 1997;195(2):183-91.

66. Wang FB, Powley TL. Topographic inventories of vagal afferents in gastrointestinal muscle. J Comp Neurol. 2000;421(3):302-24.

67. Neuhuber WL. Sensory vagal innervation of the rat esophagus and cardia: a light and electron microscopic anterograde tracing study. J Auton Nerv Syst. 1987;20(3):243-55.

68. Berthoud HR et al. Neuroanatomy of extrinsic afferents supplying the gastrointestinal tract. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2004;16 Suppl 1:28-33.

69. Song X et al. Identification of medium/high-threshold extrinsic mechanosensitive afferent nerves to the gastrointestinal tract. Gastroenterology. 2009;137(1):274-84.

70. Zagorodnyuk VP, Brookes SJ. Transduction sites of vagal mechanoreceptors in the guinea pig esophagus. J Neurosci. 2000;20(16):6249-55.

71. Zagorodnyuk VP et al. Intraganglionic laminar endings are mechanotransduction sites of vagal tension receptors in the guinea-pig stomach. J Physiol. 2001;534(Pt 1):255-68.

72. Wang F, Powley T, editors. Taxonomy of vagal afferent projections to the gastrointestinal tract. Soc Neurosci Abstr; 1994.

73. Powley T et al. Ultrastructural evidence for communication between intramuscular vagal mechanoreceptors and interstitial cells of Cajal in the rat fundus. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2008;20(1):69-79.

74. Kressel M et al. Vagal innervation of the rat pylorus: an anterograde tracing study using carbocyanine dyes and laser scanning confocal microscopy. Cell Tissue Res. 1994;275(1):109-23.

75. Neuhuber WL et al. Vagal efferent and afferent innervation of the rat esophagus as demonstrated by anterograde Dil and

DiA tracing: focus on myenteric ganglia. J Auton Nerv Syst. 1998;70(1-2):92-102.

76. Phillips RJ et al. Afferent innervation of gastrointestinal tract smooth muscle by the hepatic branch of the vagus. J Comp Neurol. 1997;384(2):248-70.

77. Cannon WB, Lieb CW. The receptive relaxation of the stomach. Am J Physiol. 1911;29(2):267-73.

78. Andrews PL et al. Reflex excitation of antral motility induced by gastric distension in the ferret. J Physiol. 1980;298:79-84.

79. Davison J, Clarke G. Mechanical properties and sensitivity to CCK of vagal gastric slowly adapting mechanoreceptors. Am J Physiol. 1988;255(1):G55-61.

80. Schwartz GJ et al. Integration of vagal afferent responses to gastric loads and cholecystokinin in rats. Am J Physiol. 1991;261(1):R64-9.

81. Schwartz GJ et al. Gastric loads and cholecystokinin synergistically stimulate rat gastric vagal afferents. Am J Physiol. 1993;265(4 Pt 2):R872-6.

82. Schwartz GJ et al. Gastric loads potentiate inhibition of food intake produced by a cholecystokinin analogue. Am J Physiol. 1991;261(5 Pt 2):R1141-6.

83. Moran TH, McHugh PR. Cholecystokinin suppresses food intake by inhibiting gastric emptying. Am J Physiol. 1982;242(5):R491-7.

84. Grundy D et al. Inhibition of gastric mechanoreceptor discharge by cholecystokinin in the rat. Am J Physiol. 1995;268(2 Pt 1):G355-60.

85. Shen L, Turner JR. Role of epithelial cells in initiation and propagation of intestinal inflammation. Eliminating the static: tight junction dynamics exposed. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2006;290(4):G577-82.

86. Bansal V et al. Traumatic brain injury and intestinal dysfunction: uncovering the neuro-enteric axis. J Neurotrauma. 2009;26(8):1353-9.

87. Costantini TW et al. Burn-induced gut barrier injury is attenuated by phosphodiesterase inhibition: effects on tight junction structural proteins. Shock. 2009;31(4):416.

88. Reys LG et al. Uncovering the neuroenteric-pulmonary axis: vagal nerve stimulation prevents acute lung injury following hemorrhagic shock. Life Sci. 2013;92(13):783-92.

89. Costantini TW et al. Efferent vagal nerve stimulation attenuates gut barrier injury after burn: modulation of intestinal occludin expression. J Trauma. 2010;68(6):1349-54; discussion 1354-6.

90. Krzyzaniak M et al. Postinjury vagal nerve stimulation protects against intestinal epithelial barrier breakdown. J Trauma. 2011;70(5):1168-75; discussion 75-6.

91. de Haan JJ et al. Protection against early intestinal compromise by lipid-rich enteral nutrition through cholecystokinin receptors. Crit Care Med. 2010;38(7):1592-7.

92. Krzyzaniak MJ et al. Efferent vagal nerve stimulation attenuates acute lung injury following burn: The importance of the gut-lung axis. Surgery. 2011;150(3):379-89.

93. Krzyzaniak M et al. Postinjury vagal nerve stimulation protects against intestinal epithelial barrier breakdown. J Trauma. 2011;70(5):1168-75.

94. Levy G et al. Parasympathetic stimulation via the vagus nerve prevents systemic organ dysfunction by abrogating gut injury and lymph toxicity in trauma and hemorrhagic shock. Shock. 2013;39(1):39-44.

95. Lubbers T et al. Cholecystokinin/ Cholecystokinin-1 receptor-mediated peripheral activation of the afferent vagus by enteral nutrients attenuates inflammation in rats. Ann Surg. 2010;252(2):376-82.

96. Luyer MD et al. Parasympathetic stimulation via the vagus nerve prevents systemic organ dysfunction by abrogating gut injury and lymph toxicity in trauma and hemorrhagic shock. Shock. 2013;39(5):460-1.

97. Cheadle GA et al. Enteric glia cells attenuate cytomix-induced intestinal epithelial barrier breakdown. PloS one. 2013;8(7):e69042.

98. Savidge TC et al. Enteric glia regulate intestinal barrier function and inflammation via release of S-nitrosoglutathione. Gastroenterology. 2007;132(4):1344-58.

99. Costantini TW et al. Vagal nerve stimulation protects against burn-induced intestinal injury through activation of enteric glia cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2010;299(6):G1308-18.

100. Costantini TW et al. Targeting alpha-7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in the enteric nervous system: a cholinergic agonist prevents gut barrier failure after severe burn injury. The Am J Pathol. 2012;181(2):478-86.

101. Sternberg EM. Neural regulation of innate immunity: a coordinated nonspecific host response to pathogens. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006;6(4):318-28.

102. Elmquist JK et al. Distribution of Fos-like immunoreactivity in the rat brain following intravenous lipopolysaccharide administration. J Comp Neurol. 1996;371(1):85-103.

103. Hermann GE et al. c-Fos generation in the dorsal vagal complex after systemic endotoxin is not dependent on the vagus nerve. Am J Physiol Regul integr Comp Physiol. 2001;280(1):R289-99.

104. Buijs RM et al. Spleen vagal denervation inhibits the production of antibodies to circulating antigens. PloS one. 2008;3(9):e3152.

105. Wan W et al. Neural and biochemical mediators of endotoxin and stressinduced c-fos expression in the rat brain. Brain Res Bull. 1994;34(1):7-14.

106. Goehler LE et al. Vagal immuneto-brain communication: a visceral chemosensory pathway. Auton Neurosci. 2000;85(1-3):49-59.

107. Ek M et al. Activation of vagal afferents after intravenous injection of interleukin-1beta: role of endogenous prostaglandins. J Neurosci. 1998;18(22):9471-9.

108. Undem BJ et al. Immunologically induced neuromodulation of guinea pig nodose ganglion neurons. J Auton Nerv Syst. 1993;44(1):35-44.

109. Greene R et al. IgE-challenged human lung mast cells excite vagal sensory neurons in vitro. J Appl Physiol. 1988;64(5):2249-53.

110. Niijima A. The afferent discharges from sensors for interleukin 1 beta in the hepatoportal system in the anesthetized rat. J Auton Nerv Syst. 1996;61(3):287-91.

111. Goehler LE et al. Interleukin-1 induces c-Fos immunoreactivity in primary afferent neurons of the vagus nerve. Brain Res. 1998;804(2):306-10.

112. Gaykema RP et al. Bacterial endotoxin induces fos immunoreactivity in primary afferent neurons of the vagus nerve. Neuroimmunomodulation. 1998;5(5):234-40.

113. Cailotto C et al. Neuroanatomical evidence demonstrating the existence of the vagal anti-inflammatory reflex in the intestine. Neurogastroenterology Motil. 2012;24(2):191-200, e93.

114. Castex N et al. c-fos expression in specific rat brain nuclei after intestinal anaphylaxis: involvement of 5-HT3 receptors and vagal afferent fibers. Brain Res. 1995;688(1-2):149-60.

115. van Bree SH et al. Systemic inflammation with enhanced brain activation contributes to more severe delay in postoperative ileus. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013;25(8):e540-9.

116. Wang X et al. Fos expression in the rat brain after intraperitoneal injection of Staphylococcus enterotoxin B and the effect of vagotomy. Neurochem Res. 2004;29(9):1667-74.

117. Rosas-Ballina M et al. Splenic nerve is required for cholinergic antiinflammatory pathway control of TNF in endotoxemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(31):11008-13.

118. Borovikova LV et al. Vagus nerve stimulation attenuates the systemic

inflammatory response to endotoxin. Nature. 2000;405(6785):458-62.

119. Wang H et al. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha7 subunit is an essential regulator of inflammation. Nature. 2003;421(6921):384-8.

120. Rosas-Ballina M et al. Acetylcholinesynthesizing T cells relay neural signals in a vagus nerve circuit. Science. 2011;334(6052):98-101.

121. Vida G et al. a7-cholinergic receptor mediates vagal induction of splenic norepinephrine. J Immunol. 2011;186(7):4340-6.

122. de Jonge WJ et al. Stimulation of the vagus nerve attenuates macrophage activation by activating the Jak2-STAT3 signaling pathway. Nat Immunol. 2005;6(8):844-51.

123. Guarini S et al. Efferent vagal fibre stimulation blunts nuclear factor-kappaB activation and protects against hypovolemic hemorrhagic shock. Circulation. 2003;107(8):1189-94.

124. van Westerloo DJ et al. The vagus nerve and nicotinic receptors modulate experimental pancreatitis severity in mice. Gastroenterology. 2006;130(6):1822-30.

125. Zhang P et al. Inhibition of the development of collagen-induced arthritis in Wistar rats through vagus nerve suspension: a 3-month observation. Inflamm Res. 2008;57(7):322-8.

126. The FO et al. Activation of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway ameliorates postoperative ileus in mice. Gastroenterology. 2007;133(4):1219-28.

127. The F et al. Central activation of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway reduces surgical inflammation in experimental post-operative ileus. Br J Pharmacol. 2011;163(5):1007-16.

128. Lubbers T et al. Lipid-rich enteral nutrition reduces postoperative ileus in rats via activation of cholecystokinin-receptors. Ann Surg. 2009;249(3):481-7.

129. Matteoli G et al. A distinct vagal anti-inflammatory pathway modulates intestinal muscularis resident macrophages independent of the spleen. Gut. 2013. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304676. [Epub ahead of print].

130. Choi KM et al. CD206-positive M2 macrophages that express heme oxygenase-1 protect against diabetic gastroparesis in mice. Gastroenterology. 2010;138(7):2399-409.

131. Ghia JE et al. The protective effect of the vagus nerve in a murine model of chronic relapsing colitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2007;293(4):G711-8.

132. Ghia JE et al. Impaired parasympathetic function increases susceptibility to inflammatory bowel disease in a mouse model of depression.

J Clin Invest. 2008;118(6):2209-18.

133. Rosas-Ballina M, Tracey KJ. The neurology of the immune system: neural reflexes regulate immunity. Neuron. 2009;64(1):28-32.

134. Turler A et al. MCP-1 causes leukocyte recruitment and subsequently endotoxemic ileus in rat. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2002;282(1):G145-55.

135. Eskandari MK et al. LPS-induced muscularis macrophage nitric oxide suppresses rat jejunal circular muscle activity. Am J Physiol. 1999;277(2 Pt 1):G478-86.

136.Eskandari MK et al. Lipopolysaccharide activates the muscularis macrophage network and suppresses circular smooth muscle activity. The American journal of physiology. 1997;273(3 Pt 1):G727-34.

137. de Winter BY et al. Role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of septic ileus in mice. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2005;17(2):251-61.

138. O'Mahony C et al. Loss of vagal antiinflammatory effect: in vivo visualization and adoptive transfer. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2009;297(4):R1118-26.

139. Ghia JE et al. The vagus nerve: a tonic inhibitory influence associated

with inflammatory bowel disease in a murine model. Gastroenterology. 2006;131(4):1122-30.

140. Ghia JE et al. Reactivation of inflammatory bowel disease in a mouse model of depression. Gastroenterology. 2009;136(7):2280-8 e1-4.

141. Matteoli G, Boeckxstaens GE. The vagal innervation of the gut and immune homeostasis. Gut. 2013;62(8):1214-22.

142. Olofsson PS et al. a7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (alpha7nAChR) expression in bone marrow-derived non-T cells is required for the inflammatory reflex. Mol Med. 2012;18:539-43.